Statement—*contd.*

| *Name of place* | *Date of estimate* | *Date of sanction, if accorded* |
|---|---|---|
| *Turuvekere Taluk.*— | | |
| 1. Turuvekere ... | March 1948 ... | 2nd September 1948. |
| 2. Banasandra ... | July 1953 ... | 7th December 1953. |
| 3. Tandaga ... | June 1954 ... | ... |
| 4. Mayasandra ... | July 1954 ... | ... |
| *Chiknaikanahalli Taluk.*— | | |
| 1. Chiknaikanahally ... | March 1948 ... | 2nd September 1948. |
| 2. Settikere ... | March 1953 ... | 29th December 1953. |
| 3. Huliyur .. | February 1951 ... | ... |
| 4. Sasalu } ... | March 1954 ... | ... |
| 5. Gopalanahalli } | | |

(*c*) The information cannot be furnished now as sanction to estimates and execution depends on the feasibility of the schemes.

---

**Grant of land as per D D. Rules under the Grow More Food Scheme.**

*Q.*—613. Sri C. T. HANUMANTHAIYA (Pavagada—Scheduled Castes).

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of acres of land granted as per D.D. Rules under the Grow More Food Scheme throughout the State since 1948 to 1953 (districtwar, talukwar and hobliwar information to be furnished) ;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that they have passed an order fixng the value for the lands so granted as per D.D. rules at Rs. 20 and Rs. 25 per acre ;

(*c*) whether it has come to their notice that the lands so granted and improved by the Depressed Classes are being surrendered in consequence of levying the above said price ; if so, the steps they have taken so far in this respect ?

*A.*—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) Nil.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) No.

---

**Dharkhast petitions from Harijans of Byadanur in Pavagada Taluk for grant of land.**

*Q.*—614. Sri C. T. HANUMANTHAIYA (Pavagada—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when 50 families of Harijans of Byadanur in Pavagada Taluk petitioned to Government that they should be granted lands for cultivation ;

(*b*) the reason for not granting them land so far?

*A.*—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) No petition appears to have been presented by the Harijans direct to Government for grant of land in Byadanur Village.

(*b*) Thirteen Harijan families have been granted lands at 1-0 acre each out of gomal S. No. 214 measuring 26-27 guntas of Byadanur Village, Pavagada Taluk reserving 13 acres 27 guntas and thirty-seven families have also been granted land at 1-0 each out of another gomal S. No. 208 measuring 43 acres 28 guntas of the same village reserving 6 acres 18 guntas. Thus each of the 50 Harijan families have been granted land at one acre each in gomal, S. Nos. 214 and 208. The darkhast applications of Harijan applicants for the reserved

(SRI KADIDAL MANJAPPA.)

portions were however rejected as there was thick growth of Honge trees. No other applications for grant of land in any other S. No. except the reserved portions in S. N s. 214 and 218 aforesaid is reported to have been received.

---

**Petitions for sites from Backward Class People of Madhugiri and Pavagada Taluks.**

*Q.*—617. Sri C. T. HANUMANTHAIYA (Pavagada—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state : —

(*a*) the number of petitions for sites received from the backward class people residing in the hoblis of Midagesi, Kodigenahalli and Itikadibbanahalli of Madhugiri Taluk and also from the backward class people residing in the entire taluk of Pavagada since 1951 to 1953 (talukwar and hobliwar information to be furnished);

(*b*) whether it has come their notice that the Harijans of Rangasamudra village in Pavagada Taluk are requesting for sites to build their houses;

(*c*) whether there are any obstacles preventing the grant of sites;

(*d*) whether it has come to their notice that no sites have been allotted to the Harijans at Pannasandra village in Pavagada Taluk?

*A.*—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).

(*a*) *Madhugiri Taluk.*

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Midagesi Hobli ... | 102 |
| 2. | Kodigenahalli ... | 41 |
| 3. | Itikadibbanahalli ... | 60 |

*Pavagada Taluk*

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Kasaba ... | 11 |
| 2. | Nagalamadike ... | 4 |
| 3. | Nidagal ... | 6 |
| 4. | Hosakote ... | 8 |

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) No

(*d*) Yes, but it is reported that action is being taken.

---

**Rural Electrification in Pavagada Taluk.**

*Q.*—618. Sri C. T. HANUMANTHAIYA (Pavagada—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state : —

(*a*) whether it has come to their notice that the raiyats of Konde Thimmanahalli, Jangamarahalli, Hosur, Arsikere, Mangalavada, Kothur, Channakeshavapura, Gujjanadu and Kota of Nidugal Hobli in Pavagada Taluk have deposited money with the Senior Operator in the taluk headquarters with a view to obtain electricity for their wells,

(*b*) if so, the reason for not yet sanctioning the estimate to start the work?

*A.*—Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(*a*) Yes, in some cases.

(*b*) Because of (i) sufficient number of applicants not having deposited;

(ii) present over-loaded conditions of existing transformer centres.

---

**Applications from Depressed Class People for grant of lands.**

*Q.*—622. Sri C. T. HANUMANTHAIYA (Pavagada—Scheduled Castes.)—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of darkhast applications received from the landless Depressed Class people of Pavagada Taluk and also from the people in the hoblis of Midigesi, Itikadibbanahalli and Kodigenahalli Hoblis of Madhugiri Taluk during 1950 to 1953;